

Scrutiny Review - Planning & Scoping Document

Mental Health theme

<p>What is the Purpose of the Review?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specify exactly which Outcome(s) the review is examining? • Also being clear what the review is <u>not</u> looking at • What is the Scrutiny Review seeking to achieve? • Where possible refer to VFM issues of service cost, service performance and/or customer satisfaction. 	<p><i>Purpose.</i></p> <p><i>The purpose of the mental health review is to inform mental health practice and service development using the CCG Mental Health Acute Care Pathway as a reference point for discussion. The outcomes will be focused on person centred interventions and service users will be invited to contribute to the workshop. The review will look at the experiences of people who use services as well as professionals from participating agencies and backgrounds to understand what is working well and what is not.</i></p> <p><i>The workshop will include a presentation from the Clinical Commissioning Group on the Mental Health Acute Care Pathway and findings from the co- production on the plan with service users.</i></p> <p><i>The corporate plan outcomes are very clearly promoting safety, healthy living and supporting independence.</i></p>
<p>What are the Criteria for Selection?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why has this particular topic been considered to be a priority issue for scrutiny? • Which of the principle criteria promoted by the Centre for Public Scrutiny does it satisfy? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>Mental health is a priority area for the council and there is a need to take stock of the current services and practice in light the CCG review and increased use of the Mental Health Act 1983.</i> 2. <i>Mental health integrated working is highlighted as a priority within the Better Care Fund Plan for the Health and Well Being Board.</i>
<p>What are the Indicators of Success?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What factors / outcomes will demonstrate that this Scrutiny Review has been a success? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>The review will inform service and practice developments promoting person centred approaches including recovery and supporting people into work and independence.</i> 2. <i>Supporting the implementation of the Mental Health Acute Care Pathway should reduce the increasing demand for Acute Hospital provision by developing alternative crisis support services.</i> 3. <i>Input from people who have experienced services should improve the effectiveness of interventions.</i>
<p>What Methodology / Approach is to be followed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What types of enquiry will be used to gather evidence. 	<p><i>Half day workshop with a key presentation and then small group review of evidence used to support the Mental Health ACP. Followed by an assessment of what social care</i></p>

<p><i>Following a structured and proportionate review process, which is likely to involve the active consideration of evidence, direct representation(s), a review of financial, performance and risk data to arrive at an objective opinion against some Key Lines of Enquiry;</i></p>	<p><i>interventions are needed to complement the effectiveness of the strategy. This will inform strategic development in mental health linked to the BCF and commissioning priorities. Observations about practice can be followed up by operational managers attending the event.</i></p>
<p>What specific resources & budget requirements are there? <i>What support is required for the review exercise?</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>specialist staff</i> • <i>any external support</i> • <i>site visits</i> • <i>consultation</i> • <i>research</i> 	<p><i>No additional resources are required for this work. Staff resource will be drawn from existing resources and the cost of the workshop will be covered from Adult Care.</i></p>
<p>Are any Corporate Risks associated with this Review? <i>Identify any weaknesses and barriers to success</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Failure to change current interventions in mental health could lead to poorer service user outcomes as evidenced in the ASCOF indicators.</i> • <i>Likelihood of increased cost of care resulting from out of area placements unless alternatives implemented.</i>
<p>Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?</p>	<p><i>People and Communities Overview and Scrutiny Committee. CCG commissioning forums. Key agency professionals Making it Real Forum.</i></p>
<p>What is the Review Timescale?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Identify key meeting dates and any deadlines for reports or decisions.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Workshop to be undertaken by end of December.</i> • <i>Findings and key actions to be presented to Committee and bodies listed above by end of Jan.</i>
<p>Who will lead the Review Exercise?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Identify a nominated:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Elected Member</i> - <i>Lead Officer</i> 	<p><i>Lead member Cllr Mary Penfold Lead officer Harry Capron supported by Siobain Hann</i></p>

<p>Media Interest / Publicity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Communications Plan</i> • <i>Do we need to publicise the review to encourage community involvement?</i> • <i>What sort of media coverage do we want? (e.g. Fliers, leaflets, radio broadcast, press release, etc.)</i> 	<p><i>Purpose of workshop is to inform DCC priorities No intended public engagement or communications This has already completed as part of the CCG consultation of the Mental Health Acute Care Pathway.</i></p>
<p>Completed by: Date:</p>	<p><i>Harry Capron 9th October 2017</i></p>
<p>Approved by Scrutiny Committee Date:</p>	<p><i>People and Communities Overview and Scrutiny Committee</i></p>